

WCKBG2423KM Functional Evaluation Criteria - TISF

Requirements	Deliverable	Criteria	Weighting	Rating	Score	Supplier Response	Eskom Comment
1. COMPANY PROFILE	Well balanced organisational structure	Provide organisational structure highlighting key positions and <u>how</u> they will interface with <i>Employer</i>	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Functional areas from CEO/MD down to the site management and the roles each member plays in delivering the scope. - Key prospective project resources for example, Project Manager, Site Manager, Welding Engineer, Civil Engineering, Safety 		0%		
	Quantitative & practical experience of company	Submit a portfolio of previous similar project which demonstrates that the Tenderer has the appropriate capabilities for the prospective project. The portfolio shall include a description of the scope, cost, construction duration and refer to the Tenderer's organization structure to illustrate which resources that worked on the portfolio is presented to work on the prospective project. Additionally, submit a list of contactable references related to the completed projects that the <i>Employer</i> can contact during tender evaluations.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - More than 5 years work experience of similar/related scope. - Worked on 3 projects of similar scope, size and cost (± 20%) 		0%		
	Ability to deliver quality raw materials from sub-supplier.	Tenderer's shall provide evidence of previous projects' material and plant and equipment orders, deliveries and acceptance	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Having dealt with their respective sub-suppliers previously on other projects. - Confirmation that the quality of materials received from these suppliers were according to specification. 		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%	
2. USER REQUIREMENTS (NPM020/066)	Appropriately qualified and skilled personnel	CV's including references of previous experience of personnel who shall execute the scope, i.e. refer to the Tenderer's portfolio and Organizational Structure	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Key personnel shall be suitably experienced in similar construction works as defined in the scope, and additionally working with the testing and documentation requirements (test samples, reports, rebar CMTR's, etc.). - Key personnel include a Pr.Eng, Civil/Structural engineer and a ASW Welder, both with suitable related experience. 		0%		
	Construction Methodology	The Tenderer shall submit a document which has a brief method statement to the required task showing compliance to the user requirements specification referenced codes and standards. The method statement shall include a table of the specific equipment to perform the task.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The method statement shall include the reinforced concrete, structural steel and welding aspects of the project 		0%		
	Language and Professionalism	Provide a logical, professional and complete Technical Proposal.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Representation to Eskom shall be fluent in speaking and writing the English language. - The submitted tender document shall be logical, follow the flow of this Tender Evaluation form and be on a standard of quality representative of how the Tenderer intends to perform the works. 		0%		
	Detail Design Requirements as per the TRS (07147DPDRR014).	A completed compliance matrix form which references the relevant compliance statement showing capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completed Compliance Matrix Form. - Compliance Matrix references the relevant section in the method statement that describes the capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS. 		0%		
	General Requirements as per the TRS (07147DPDRR014).	A completed compliance matrix form which references the relevant compliance statement showing capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completed Compliance Matrix Form. - Compliance Matrix references the relevant section in the method statement that describes the capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS. 		0%		
	Licensing Requirements as per the TRS (07147DPDRR014).	A completed compliance matrix form which references the relevant compliance statement showing capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completed Compliance Matrix Form. - Compliance Matrix references the relevant section in the method statement that describes the capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS. 		0%		
	Additional Design and Construction Requirements as per the TRS (07147DPDRR014).	A completed compliance matrix form which references the relevant compliance statement showing capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS.	<p>The requested DELIVERABLE must be submitted as stated and must show clear evidence of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Completed Compliance Matrix Form. - Compliance Matrix references the relevant section in the method statement that describes the capability and compliance to the requirements of the TRS. 		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%	
1. QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (QMS)	The returnables are copies of Management System Certification and supporting independent audit reports.	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is certified to ISO 9001:2015. Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is meeting the requirements of ASME NQA-1 or equivalent.			0%		
	2. QUALITY PLANNING	Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product. QCP shall have identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-102 Rev2, Section 3.5 refers)		0%		

3. QUALITY MANAGEMENT	3. MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY	The returnable is the retained or maintained documented information for demonstrating criteria implementation. 1: Organogram demonstrating key personnel with their roles 2: KPI's and latest management review report.	Demonstrate management responsibility with respect to leadership: 1: organisational structure to show roles, reporting lines and authority. 2: business plan, strategic direction, objectives, performance indicators and targets to show the level of performance is accomplished.	5%	0%			
		The returnable is the retained documented information or records demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. Changes have been planned and risk assessment performed to determine potential consequences and impact wrt the integrity of the QMS.	Demonstrate that change control process is managed in the organization on areas such as the company structure, staffing levels and resources that can adversely affect quality.	5%	0%			
		The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation.	Demonstrate that measures exist to control internal and external interfaces to the organisation and that adequate oversight measures are implemented.	5%	0%			
		The returnable is the maintained documented information or method statement demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers as well as verification of purchased products and services.	Demonstrate that measures exist to control externally provided processes, products and service as well as that adequate oversight measures have been implemented.	5%	0%			
		The returnable is the latest management review report	Demonstrate management commitment and accountability with respect to the achievement of QMS objectives. Provide evidence that the management review process ensures that the Quality Management System is suitable and effective with respect to quality.	5%	0%			
	4. MONITORING	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Internal and or external audit or self assessment report.	Demonstrate implementation of reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management.	10%	0%			
		The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance and deviation reports.	Demonstrate implementation of non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition with provisions for customer notification and acceptance.	10%	0%			
		The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. A corrective action plan accomplished (closed-out) as scheduled.	Demonstrate that adequate measures are in place to ensure that audit results and corrective actions are being resolved satisfactorily and are closed out within agreed timeline.	5%	0%			
	TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%	

Final Analysis

1. COMPANY PROFILE	20%	0.0%
2. USER REQUIREMENTS	50%	0.0%
3. QUALITY MANAGEMENT	30%	0.0%
TOTAL	100%	0.0%

The scoring of the Quality Evaluation Criteria is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3- 100% - Meet
The overall score for functionality criteria is analysed as follows:
0% - 79% - Does not meet
80% - 100% - Meet

Compiler (Technical): Mr. Randall Lavelot

RR Lavelot

Compiler (PQE): Ms. Phindiwe Xotyeni

Phindiwe Xotyeni

Does not meet